

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/756,950	01/13/2004		Roy J. Lahr	11070/461021 3309		
7590 07/26/2004				EXAMINER		
Michael E. St	imson		FRIEDHOFER, MICHAEL A			
KENYON & K	ENYON				D. DED 3410 (DED	
One Broadway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
New York, NY	7 10004		2832			
				DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	Application No. Applicant(s)						
	Office Action Commence	10/756,95	0	LAHR, ROY J.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Michael A.		2832					
7 Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communica Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status		•							
1) 🗌 Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed o	on							
2a) Th	nis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)		s action is non-final.						
3) <u></u> Sii	nce this application is in condition for	allowance except t	or formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
clo	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-97</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
· <u> </u>	5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>39-97</u> is/are rejected.								
·									
·	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
·		ir and/or election re	quirement.						
Application	•								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)			A) []	(DTO 442)					
	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Informati	ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo o(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/756,950

Art Unit: 2832

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 39-97 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 39-97 are replete with antecedent basis problems making the claims difficult to understand and examine properly with so many as to make it difficult to list them all.

## Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 39-97 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-103 of U.S. Patent No. 6,739,774. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because while the claim language is slightly different between the application and the patent, the patent includes either directly all of the limitations

Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

cited with the addition of further limitations or inherently provides those limitations by the structure that is claimed such as the method claims of the present application.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Roylance et al, Lim, Butler, Susel, Bullister, Leman, and Furuki et al teach various expandible and contractible keyboard structures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael A. Friedhofer whose telephone number is 571-272-1992. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:00 - 2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael A. Friedhofer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2832

maf